

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Civ. No. 11-1258-SLR
)	
PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

VERDICT SHEET

Dated: February , 2014

We, the jury, unanimously find as follows:

I. United States Patent No. 6,772,347 ("the '347 patent")

A. Literal infringement

1. Has Juniper proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that PAN's products directly **[literally]** infringe either of claims 1 or 24 of the '347 patent?

*Checking "yes" below indicates a finding for plaintiff Juniper.
Checking "no" below indicates a finding for defendant PAN.*

Claim	YES	NO
1		
24		

Only answer question 2, if you have answered question 1 "no."

B. Infringement under the doctrine of equivalents

2. Has Juniper proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that PAN's products infringe either of claims 1 or 24 of the '347 patent under the doctrine of equivalents?

*Checking "yes" below indicates a finding for plaintiff Juniper.
Checking "no" below indicates a finding for defendant PAN.*

Claim	YES	NO
1		
24		

Continued on next page.

II. United States Patent No. 7,107,612 ("the '612 patent")

A. Literal infringement

3. Has Juniper proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that PAN's products ~~directly~~ **[literally]** infringe either of claims 1 or 6 of the '612 patent? If you answer "no" as to independent claim 1, you must also answer "no" as to dependent claim 6 for purposes of this question.

Checking "yes" below indicates a finding for plaintiff Juniper.

Checking "no" below indicates a finding for defendant PAN.

Claim	YES	NO
1		
6		

Only answer question 4, if you have answered question 3 "no." **[for claims as to which you answered "no" to question 3].**

B. Infringement under the doctrine of equivalents

4. Has Juniper proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that PAN's products infringe either of claims 1 or 6 of the '612 patent under the doctrine of equivalents? If you answer "no" as to independent claim 1, you must also answer "no" as to dependent claim 6 for purposes of this question.

Checking "yes" below indicates a finding for plaintiff Juniper.

Checking "no" below indicates a finding for defendant PAN.

Claim	YES	NO
1		
6		

Continued on next page.

III. United States Patent No. 8,077,723 ("the '723 patent")

A. Literal Infringement

5. Has Juniper proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that PAN's products directly **[literally]** infringe claim 1 of the '723 patent?

*Checking "yes" below indicates a finding for plaintiff Juniper.
Checking "no" below indicates a finding for defendant PAN.*

Claim	YES	NO
1		

Only answer question 6, if you have answered question 5 "no."

~~B. Infringement under the doctrine of equivalents~~

~~6. Has Juniper proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that PAN's products infringe claim 1 of the '723 patent under the doctrine of equivalents?~~

~~*Checking "yes" below indicates a finding for plaintiff Juniper.
Checking "no" below indicates a finding for defendant PAN.*~~

Claim	YES	NO
1		

Continued on next page.

We, the jurors, by signing below, indicate our unanimous verdict.

Jury Foreperson

Juror

Juror

Juror

Juror

Juror

Juror

Juror

Dated: _____

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Philip A. Rovner, hereby certify that on February 28, 2014 the within document was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF which will send notification to the registered attorney(s) of record that the document has been filed and is available for viewing and downloading.

I further certify that on February 28, 2014, the within document was served on the following persons as indicated:

BY E-MAIL

Jack B. Blumenfeld, Esq.
Jennifer Ying, Esq.
Morris, Nichols, Arsht & Tunnel LLP
1201 N. Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899
jblumenfeld@mnat.com
jying@mnat.com

BY E-MAIL

Morgan Chu, Esq.
Jonathan S. Kagan, Esq.
Talin Gordnia, Esq.
Irell & Manella LLP
1800 Avenue of the Stars
Suite 900
Los Angeles, CA 90067-4276
mchu@irell.com
jkagan@irell.com
tgordnia@irell.com

Lisa S. Glasser, Esq.
David C. McPhie, Esq.
Rebecca Clifford, Esq.
Irell & Manella LLP
840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 400
Newport Beach, CA 92660
lglasser@irell.com
dmcphie@irell.com
rclifford@irell.com

/s/ Philip A. Rovner
Philip A. Rovner (#3215)
Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP
Hercules Plaza
P. O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 984-6000
provner@potteranderson.com